

Classification of cosets of the Reed–Muller code $R(m-3, m)$

Xiang-dong Hou

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA

Received 7 January 1992

Revised 29 April 1992

Abstract

Cosets of the Reed–Muller code $R(m-3, m)$ are classified under the actions of $GL(m, 2)$ and $GA(m, 2)$, the latter being the automorphism group of $R(m-3, m)$ for $m \geq 4$. The number of cosets in each class is calculated. Orphans of $R(m-3, m)$ are identified, and the normality of $R(m-3, m)$ is established. A recursive formula is given for computing weight distributions of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$. The formula gives the number of vectors of weight w in a coset of $R(m-3, m)$ with minimal weight μ rather easily when w is not far away from μ .

1. Introduction

Let C be a binary linear code of length n , and let G be an automorphism group of C . Then G acts on the set of all cosets of C . Cosets in the same G -orbit are said to be G -equivalent. G -equivalent cosets have the same coding theoretic properties.

In this paper, we concentrate on the cosets of the $(m-3)$ rd order Reed–Muller code $R(m-3, m)$ of length 2^m . The general affine group $GA(m, 2)$ is an automorphism group of $R(m-3, m)$, and is the full automorphism group when $m \geq 4$. (See [13]). The general linear group $GL(m, 2)$ is a subgroup of $GA(m, 2)$. In Section 3, we see that the cosets of $R(m-3, m)$ of even weight correspond to $m \times m$ symmetric matrices over $GF(2)$, and their $GL(m, 2)$ -equivalence classes correspond to congruence classes of $m \times m$ symmetric matrices. The same thing happens with respect to the cosets of $R(m-3, m)$ of odd weight. Using the well-known classification of symmetric matrices over $GF(2)$, we easily get the classification of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$ under the action of $GL(m, 2)$. The classification of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$ under the action of $GA(m, 2)$ follows immediately. The minimal weight and the number of cosets in each $GA(m, 2)$ -equivalence class are calculated. In Section 4, we identify the orphans of $R(m-3, m)$.

Correspondence to: X.-d. Hou, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Wright State University, Dayton, OH 45435, USA.

(See [4, 5, 9] for the definition and importance of orphans of a binary linear code.) It turns out that all the orphans of $R(m-3, m)$ are 0-covered. This implies that $R(m-3, m)$ is normal. (See [8] for the definition and importance of normal codes.) In Section 5, a recursive formula is given for computing weight distributions of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$. For a coset C of $R(m-3, m)$ with minimal weight μ , the formula gives the number of vectors of weight w in C rather easily when w is not far away from μ . When w is far away from μ , the formula involves a large amount of computation.

Section 2 presents some background in Reed-Muller codes and geometry over $\text{GF}(2)$.

2. Preliminaries

For binary vectors $x=(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y=(y_1, \dots, y_n)$, define $x \cap y = (x_1 y_1, \dots, x_n y_n)$. Throughout this paper, h is always the all-one row vector of suitable length.

Let V be an n -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. Choose a distinguished ordered basis $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ for V , and define Hamming weight $|\circ|$ on V :

$$|x_1 \varepsilon_1 + \dots + x_n \varepsilon_n| = |(x_1, \dots, x_n)|. \quad (2.1)$$

Subsets of V are binary codes of length n . Let W be another n -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$ with a distinguished ordered basis η_1, \dots, η_n , and let $\varphi: V \rightarrow W$ be the linear isomorphism given by $\varepsilon_i \mapsto \eta_i$ ($i=1, \dots, n$). For any code $C \subset V$, $\varphi(C)$ is called the representation of C in W . Representing a code in a different ambient space sometimes is convenient. An automorphism of a code $C \subset V$ is a linear isomorphism $V \rightarrow V$ extended by a permutation of $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_n$ which leaves C invariant.

For $m \geq 0$, let $\text{GF}(2)[X_1, \dots, X_m]$ be the polynomial ring, and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_m &= \text{GF}(2)[X_1, \dots, X_m] / (X_1^2 - X_1, \dots, X_m^2 - X_m) \\ &\cong \{P \in \text{GF}(2)[X_1, \dots, X_m] : \text{the degree of each } X_i \text{ in } P \text{ is at most } 1\}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

\mathcal{P}_m is a 2^m -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. Choose ε_v ($v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$) as the distinguished ordered basis for \mathcal{P}_m , where

$$\varepsilon_v = (X_1 - v_1 + 1) \cdots (X_m - v_m + 1), \quad \text{for } v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_m \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GF}(2)^m. \quad (2.3)$$

($\varepsilon_v(v) = 1$ and $\varepsilon_v(u) = 0$ for $v \neq u \in \text{GF}(2)^m$.)

For $w \geq 0$, let $M_{m,w}$ be the set of all $m \times w$ matrices over $\text{GF}(2)$. Put

$$M_m = \bigcup_{w \geq 0} M_{m,w}. \quad (2.4)$$

For $A, B \in M_m$, we say $A \sim B$ if B can be obtained from A by a permutation of columns and by adding or deleting pairs of repeated columns. \sim is an equivalence relation on

M_m . Let $q: M_m \rightarrow M_m/\sim$ be the quotient map. Define $+$ on M_m/\sim :

$$q(A) + q(B) = q([A, B]). \quad (2.5)$$

(M_m/\sim can be regarded as the power set of $\text{GF}(2)^m$ where $+$ is the symmetric difference.) M_m/\sim is a 2^m -dimensional vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$. Choose $q(v)$ ($v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$) as the distinguished ordered basis for M_m/\sim .

Let $\varphi: \mathcal{P}_m \rightarrow M_m/\sim$ be the linear isomorphism given by $\varepsilon_v \mapsto q(v)$ ($v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$). One can prove that $\varphi^{-1}: M_m/\sim \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_m$ is given by

$$q\left(\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix}\right) \mapsto \sum_{\substack{0 \leq a \leq m \\ 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_a \leq m}} |(\alpha_{i_1} + h) \cap \dots \cap (\alpha_{i_a} + h)| \hat{X}_{i_1, \dots, i_a},$$

for any $\begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix} \in M_m$, (2.6)

where $|(\alpha_{i_1} + h) \cap \dots \cap (\alpha_{i_a} + h)|$ is regarded as an element in $\text{GF}(2)$, (the empty intersection of row vectors is h), and $\hat{X}_{i_1, \dots, i_a} = \prod_{i \in \{1, \dots, m\} \setminus \{i_1, \dots, i_a\}} X_i$, ($\hat{X}_\emptyset = X_1 \cdots X_m$).

The general affine group $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ acts on $\text{GF}(2)^m$, hence permutes ε_v ($v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$) and $q(v)$ ($v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$): for any $f \in \text{GA}(m, 2)$,

$$f(\varepsilon_v) = \varepsilon_{f(v)}, \quad f(q(v)) = q(f(v)), \quad v \in \text{GF}(2)^m. \quad (2.7)$$

f extends to linear automorphisms of \mathcal{P}_m and M_m/\sim such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M_m/\sim \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{P}_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M_m/\sim \end{array} \quad (2.8)$$

commutes. $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ also acts on M_m : for any $f \in \text{GA}(m, 2)$, and $[v_1, \dots, v_w] \in M_m$,

$$f([v_1, \dots, v_w]) = [f(v_1), \dots, f(v_w)]. \quad (2.9)$$

The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_m & \xrightarrow{q} & M_m/\sim \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \\ M_m & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & M_m/\sim \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

commutes.

Let $0 \leq r \leq m$. The usual definition of the r th order Reed–Muller code of length 2^m is

$$R(r, m) = \{F \in \mathcal{P}_m : \deg F \leq r\}. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.6), we see that the representation of $R(r, m)$ in M_m/\sim is

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(R(r, m)) = \{ q(A) \in M_m/\sim : A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_m \end{bmatrix} \in M_m \\ \text{satisfies } |\alpha_{i_1} \cap \cdots \cap \alpha_{i_a}| \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ \text{for } 0 \leq a \leq m-r-1, 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_a \leq m \}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

We will work on $\varphi(R(r, m))$ and will not distinguish between $R(r, m)$ and $\varphi(R(r, m))$. $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ is an automorphism group of $R(r, m)$. When $1 \leq r \leq m-2$, $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ is the full automorphism group of $R(r, m)$. (See [13].) The general linear group $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ is an automorphism group of $R(r, m)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let \mathcal{S}_m be the set of all $m \times m$ symmetric matrices over $\text{GF}(2)$, and let $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$ have $\text{rank}(A) = t$. If t is odd, there is a $P \in \text{GL}(m, 2)$ such that*

$$PAP^T = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & 0 & \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t = I(m, t). \quad (2.13)$$

If t is even, there is a $P \in \text{GL}(m, 2)$ such that

$$PAP^T = \left[\begin{array}{cccccccc} 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & 0 \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t = K(m, t), \quad (2.14)$$

or

$$PAP^T = \left[\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & \\ & & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & 0 & \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t = I(m, t). \quad (2.15)$$

When $t \geq 2$ is even, (2.14) and (2.15), do not both occur.

Proof. We may assume $A \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2^T \\ A_2 & A_3 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$A_1 = [1] \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let

$$P_1 = \begin{bmatrix} I(s, s) & 0 \\ A_2 A_1 & I(m-s, m-s) \end{bmatrix} \in \text{GL}(m, 2),$$

where s is the size of A_1 . Then

$$P_1 A P_1^T = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A'_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now the induction on the size of A shows that there is a $P \in \text{GL}(m, 2)$ such that

$$P A P^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & & & & \\ & & & 0 & 1 & & & & & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & & & & \\ & & & & & & 0 & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & & & & 0 & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To prove that one of (2.13), (2.14), and (2.15) true, we only have to note

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 0 & 1 \\ & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, note that for any $P \in \text{GL}(m, 2)$, the main diagonal entries of $P K(m, t) P^T$ are all 0. Hence, when $t \geq 2$ is even, (2.14) and (2.15) do not both happen. \square

For any $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$, define

$$S(A) = \{ P \in \text{GL}(m, 2) : P A P^T = A \} < \text{GL}(m, 2). \quad (2.16)$$

($S(K(2n, 2n))$ is the symplectic group.)

Lemma 2.2.

$$|S(I(m, t))| = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t^2 + (1/2)(m-t)(m+t-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-t} (2^i-1), & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ 2^{(1/4)(t-1)^2 + (1/2)(m-t)(m+t-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{(t-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-t} (2^i-1), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (2.17)$$

$$|S(K(m, t))| = 2^{(1/4)t^2 + (1/2)(m-t)(m+t-1)} \prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=1}^{m-t} (2^i-1), \quad t \text{ is even.} \quad (2.18)$$

Proof. We have

$$|S(I(t, t))| = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t^2} \prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), & \text{if } t \text{ is even,} \\ 2^{(1/4)(t-1)^2} \prod_{i=1}^{(t-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), & \text{if } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \quad (2.19)$$

$$|S(K(t, t))| = 2^{(1/4)t^2} \prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)} (2^{2i}-1), \quad \text{if } t \text{ is even.} \quad (2.20)$$

((2.19) can be obtained by induction on t ; (2.20) can be found in [1, p. 147].) It is easily seen that $P \in S(I(m, t))$ iff

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} \\ 0 & P_{22} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (2.21)$$

where $P_{11} \in S(I(t, t))$ and $P_{22} \in \text{GL}(m-t, 2)$. Hence

$$|S(I(m, t))| = |S(I(t, t))| \cdot |\text{GL}(m-t, 2)| \cdot 2^{t(m-t)}. \quad (2.22)$$

(2.17) follows from (2.22) and (2.19). (2.18) is proved in the same way. \square

3. Classification of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$

For any $A, B \in M_m$, using (2.12) with $r = m-3$, we see that $q(A) - q(B) \in R(m-3, m)$ iff A and B have the same row size (mod 2), and $AA^T = BB^T$. Let $\mathcal{C}_e(\mathcal{C}_o)$ be the set of all cosets of $R(m-3, m)$ with even (odd) weight, and $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{C}_e \cup \mathcal{C}_o$. Define $\psi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m$,

$$q(A) + R(m-3, m) \mapsto AA^T, \quad A \in M_m. \quad (3.1)$$

ψ restricts to bijections $\psi_e: \mathcal{C}_e \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m$ and $\psi_o: \mathcal{C}_o \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_m$. Let $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ act on \mathcal{S}_m : for any $P \in \text{GL}(m, 2)$, $A \in \mathcal{S}_m$,

$$P(A) = PAP^T. \quad (3.2)$$

We have the following commutative diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_e & \xrightarrow{\psi_e} & \mathcal{S}_m \\ \downarrow P & & \downarrow P \\ \mathcal{C}_e & \xrightarrow{\psi_e} & \mathcal{S}_m \end{array} \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_o & \xrightarrow{\psi_o} & \mathcal{S}_m \\ \downarrow P & & \downarrow P \\ \mathcal{C}_o & \xrightarrow{\psi_o} & \mathcal{S}_m \end{array} \quad (3.4)$$

Let

$$A_{m,t} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t \in M_m, \quad B_{m,t} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t \in M_m, \quad t=0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (3.5)$$

$$C_{m,t} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t \in M_m, \quad D_{m,t} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & & 1 \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 \\ & & 0 \end{array} \right] \Bigg\}^t \in M_m, \quad t=2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.6)$$

Theorem 3.1. *The following are representatives of $GL(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{C} :*

$$q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t=0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (3.7)$$

$$q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t=0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (3.8)$$

$$q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t=2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \quad (3.9)$$

$$q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t=2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, $I(m, t)$ ($t=0, 1, \dots, m$) and $K(m, t)$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$) are representatives of $GL(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{S}_m . Therefore, by (3.3) and (3.4), $\psi_e^{-1}(I(m, t))$, $\psi_o^{-1}(I(m, t))$ ($t=0, 1, \dots, m$) and $\psi_e^{-1}(K(m, t))$, $\psi_o^{-1}(K(m, t))$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor$) form representatives of $GL(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{C} . Note that

$$\psi_e^{-1}(I(m, t)) = \begin{cases} q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is even,} \\ q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$\psi_o^{-1}(I(m, t)) = \begin{cases} q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is even,} \\ q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Also note that $\psi_o^{-1}(K(m, t))$ is $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$), since $\psi_o(q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)) = C_{m,t} C_{m,t}^T$ is $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $K(m, t)$. Similarly, $\psi_e^{-1}(K(m, t))$ is $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$). \square

For a group G acting on a set X and $x \in X$, we use $[x]_G$ to denote the G -orbit on X containing x .

Theorem 3.2. *The cardinalities of the $\text{GL}(m, 2)$ -equivalence classes of \mathcal{C} are*

$$\begin{aligned} |[q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| &= |[q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| \\ &= \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t(t-2)} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t/2-1} (2^{2i} - 1)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is even,} \\ 2^{(1/4)t(t-1)} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(t-1)/2} (2^{2i} - 1)}, & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is odd,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} |[q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| &= |[q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| \\ &= 2^{(1/4)t(t-2)} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{t/2} (2^{2i} - 1)}, \quad 2 \leq t \leq m, \text{ } t \text{ is even.} \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

Proof. By (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |[q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| &= |[q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| \\ &= |[I(m, t)]_{\text{GL}(m,2)}| = \frac{|\text{GL}(m, 2)|}{|S(I(m, t))|}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Equations (3.13) and (2.17) yield (3.11). Equation (3.12) is proved in the same way. \square

Lemma 3.3. *For $1 \leq t \leq m$, $q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ is $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(B_{m,t-1}) + R(m-3, m)$.*

Proof. Let $f \in \text{GA}(m, 2)$,

$$f(v) = t \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & \\ 1 & \dots & & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & \\ & & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix} v + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\} t, \quad v \in \text{GF}(2)^m.$$

Then $f(q(A_{m,t})) = q(f(A_{m,t})) = q(B_{m,t-1})$. \square

Lemma 3.4. For $t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, $q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ is $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$.

Proof. Let $f \in \text{GA}(m, 2)$,

$$f(v) = v + \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{array} \right\}^t, \quad v \in \text{GF}(2)^m.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(C_{m,t}) \cdot f(C_{m,t})^T &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & & 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & & & \vdots \\ & & 1 & & 0 \\ & & & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & 0 \end{bmatrix}^T \\ &= I(m, t) = B_{m,t} B_{m,t}^T, \end{aligned}$$

we have $f(q(C_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)) = q(f(C_{m,t})) + R(m-3, m) = q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$. \square

Theorem 3.5.

The minimal weight of $q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m) = t$, $0 \leq t \leq m$. (3.14)

The minimal weight of $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m) = m+1$, (3.15)

the minimal weight of $q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m) = t+2$, $2 \leq t \leq m$, t is even. (3.16)

Proof. We only prove (3.16). Equations (3.14) and (3.15) are proved in the same way. Let μ be the minimal weight of $q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$. Hence, there is an $A \in M_{m,\mu}$ such that $q(A) \in q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$. Since $AA^T = D_{m,t} D_{m,t}^T$, we have $\text{rank}(A) \geq \text{rank}(AA^T) = \text{rank}(D_{m,t} D_{m,t}^T) = t$. Note that all rows of A have even weights. Therefore, $\mu - 1 \geq \text{rank}(A) \geq t$. Since μ and t are both even, we have $\mu \geq t+2$. On the other hand, $\mu \leq |q(D_{m,t})| = t+2$. So, $\mu = t+2$. \square

Theorem 3.6. The following are representatives of $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{C} :

$$q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (3.17)$$

$$q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m), \quad (3.18)$$

$$q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m), \quad t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.19)$$

Proof. By Lemmas 3.3 and 3.4, it suffices to prove that the above cosets are not $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -equivalent. By Theorem 3.5, it remains to prove:

(i) $q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ is not $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(A_{m,t+2}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor - 2$), and

(ii) $q(D_{m,m-1}) + R(m-3, m)$ is not $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -equivalent to $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$, (m is odd).

Both (i) and (ii) follow when one notes that $q(D_{m,t}) \in R(m-2, m)$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$), but $q(A_{m,t}) \in R(m-1, m) \setminus R(m-2, m)$ ($t=0, 1, \dots, m$), and $q(B_{m,m}) \in R(m-1, m) \setminus R(m-2, m)$. \square

Remark. In the usual ambient space \mathcal{P}_m of $R(m-3, m)$, the following are representatives of $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{C} :

$$t\hat{X}_\phi + \hat{X}_1 + \dots + \hat{X}_t + R(m-3, m), \quad t=0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (3.20)$$

$$(m+1)\hat{X}_\phi + \hat{X}_1 + \dots + \hat{X}_m + R(m-3, m), \quad (3.21)$$

$$\hat{X}_{1,2} + \dots + \hat{X}_{(t/2)-1,t/2} + R(m-3, m), \quad t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.22)$$

Theorem 3.7. The $\text{GA}(m, 2)$ -orbits on \mathcal{C} are

$$[q(A_{m,0}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m, 2)} = [q(A_{m,0}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m, 2)} &= [q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)} \cup \\ &\quad [q(B_{m,t-1}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, \\ &\quad t=1, 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m, 2)} &= [q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)} \cup \\ &\quad [q(B_{m,t-1}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)} \cup \\ &\quad [q(C_{m,t-1}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, \\ &\quad t=3, 5, \dots, 2\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor + 1, \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m, 2)} &= \\ &\quad \begin{cases} [q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ [q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)} \cup \\ [q(C_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m, 2)} &= [q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GL}(m, 2)}, \\ &\quad t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

and they have cardinalities

$$|[q(A_{m,0}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| = 1, \quad (3.28)$$

$$|[q(A_{m,1}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| = 2^m, \quad (3.29)$$

$$|[q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| = 2^{(1/4)t(t-2) + m - t + 1} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+2}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)-1} (2^{2i} - 1)},$$

$$t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \quad (3.30)$$

$$|[q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| = 2^{(1/4)(t^2-1) + m - t + 1} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+2}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(t-1)/2} (2^{2i} - 1)},$$

$$t = 3, 5, \dots, 2\lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor + 1, \quad (3.31)$$

$$|[q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| =$$

$$\begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)(m^2-1)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(m-1)/2} (2^{2i} - 1)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ 2^{(1/4)m(m+2)} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(m/2)} (2^{2i} - 1)}, & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \end{cases}$$

$$(3.32)$$

$$|[q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{GA(m,2)}| = 2^{(1/4)t(t-2)} \frac{\prod_{i=m-t+1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^{(t/2)} (2^{2i} - 1)},$$

$$t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (3.33)$$

Proof. Equation (3.23)–(3.27) follow from Lemmas 3.3, 3.4, and Theorem 3.6. Equations (3.28)–(3.33) follow from (3.23)–(3.27) and Theorem 3.2. \square

4. Orphans of $R(m-3, m)$

Let C be a binary linear code of length n , and let C' be a coset of C with minimal weight μ . Vectors of weight μ in C' are called leaders of C' . For any $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \text{GF}(2)^n$, we say $x \leq y$ if $x_i = 1$ implies $y_i = 1$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$). For any cosets C' and C'' of C , we say $C' \leq C''$ if $x \leq y$ for some leaders x of C' and y of C'' . \leq is a partial order on the set $\text{GF}(2)^n/C$ of all cosets of C in $\text{GF}(2)^n$. Maximal elements in $\langle \text{GF}(2)^n/C, \leq \rangle$ are called orphans of C ([5]). A coset C' of C with minimal weight μ is an orphan of C iff the vectors of C' with weights μ and $\mu + 1$ cover all coordinate positions [4, Theorem 1]. For $\varepsilon = 0$ or 1 , C' is called ε -covered if for each coordinate position i , there is a leader of C' with an ε in position i . Automorphisms of C send orphans to orphans and ε -covered cosets to ε -covered cosets. If C is an even code, then C' is an orphan iff it is 1-covered.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $0 \leq r < m$. For any $q(A) \in R(r+1, m) \setminus R(r, m)$ ($A \in M_m$), $q(A) + R(r, m)$ is an orphan of $R(r, m)$ which is both 1-covered and 0-covered.*

Proof. We may assume $A = [v_1, \dots, v_\mu] \in M_{m, \mu}$, where $\mu \geq 1$ is the minimal weight of $q(A) + R(r, m)$. If B is obtained by adding $h = (1, \dots, 1)$ to any row of A , using (2.12), one can easily see that $q(B) \in q(A) + R(r, m)$ using the fact $q(A) \in R(r+1, m)$. Hence, for any $u \in \text{GF}(2)^m$,

$$q([v_1 + u, \dots, v_\mu + u]) \in q(A) + R(r, m). \quad (4.1)$$

By choosing suitable u , we may make any given $v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$ appear or not appear in the columns of $[v_1 + u, \dots, v_\mu + u]$. Hence, $q(A) + R(r, m)$ is both 1-covered and 0-covered. \square

Theorem 4.2. (i) *If m is even, the orphans of $R(m-3, m)$ are the cosets in*

$$[q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m,2)}, \quad t = 2, 4, \dots, m. \quad (4.2)$$

(ii) *If m is odd, the orphans of $R(m-3, m)$ are the cosets in*

$$[q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m,2)}, \quad t = 2, 4, \dots, m-1. \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$[q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)]_{\text{GA}(m,2)}. \quad (4.4)$$

In both (i) and (ii), all the orphans of $R(m-3, m)$ are both 1-covered and 0-covered.

Proof. Since $q(D_{m,t}) \in R(m-2, m) \setminus R(m-3, m)$ ($t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$), by Lemma 4.1, $q(D_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ is both 1-covered and 0-covered.

(i) It remains to prove that $q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($t = 0, 1, \dots, m$) and $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$ are not 1-covered. We claim that for any coset leader $q(A) \in q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($A \in M_{m,t}$),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

does not appear as a column of A . Otherwise, $t \geq 1$, and

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} & 0 \\ A_1 & \vdots \\ & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $AA^T = A_{m,t}A_{m,t}^T = I(m, t)$, we have $A_1A_1^T = I(m, t)$. Then $q(A_1) \in q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$. There is a contradiction since $q(B_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ has minimal weight

$t+1$ (Lemmas 3.3 and (3.14)) and $|q(A_1)| = t-1$. Similarly, one can prove that for any coset leader $q(A) \in q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($A \in M_{m,m+1}$),

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

does not appear as a column of A .

(ii) As in (i), $q(A_{m,t}) + R(m-3, m)$ ($t=0, 1, \dots, m$) are not orphans. It remains to prove that $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$ is both 1-covered and 0-covered. Since the minimal weight of $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m) = m+1$ = the covering radius of $R(m-3, m)$ (Theorem 3.5), $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$ is an orphan, hence is 1-covered. Let

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 1 & \cdots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in M_{m,m+1}.$$

Then $q(B_{m,m})$ and $q(B_{m,m} + J)$ are leaders of $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$. For any $v \in \text{GF}(2)^m$, one of $B_{m,m}$ and $B_{m,m} + J$ does not contain v as a column. Hence, $q(B_{m,m}) + R(m-3, m)$ is 0-covered. \square

Theorem 4.3. $R(m-3, m)$ is normal.

Proof. It follows immediately from [9, Lemma 2] and Theorem 4.2. \square

5. Weight Distributions of Cosets of $R(m-3, m)$

For any $C \subset M_m / \sim$, and $w \geq 0$, let $N_w(C)$ be the number of vectors of weight w in C , and let

$$\mathcal{A}_w(C) = \{A \in M_{m,w} : q(A) \in C\} = q^{-1}(C) \cap M_{m,w}. \quad (5.1)$$

We try to derive a recursive formula for $N_w(C)$ in terms of $N_\zeta(C)$ and $|\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)|$ ($0 \leq \zeta \leq w$, $\zeta = w \pmod{2}$). For any $A, B \in M_m$, we say $A \approx B$ if B can be obtained from A by a permutation of columns. \approx is an equivalence relation on M_m . Let $p: M_m \rightarrow M_m / \approx$ be the quotient map.

Lemma 5.1.

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq \zeta \leq w \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} \binom{2^m + \frac{w-\zeta}{2} - 1}{\frac{w-\zeta}{2}} N_\zeta(C) = |p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))|. \quad (5.2)$$

Proof. For $0 \leq i \leq w/2$, let

$$\mathcal{A}_w^{(i)}(C) = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_w(C) : A \text{ has exactly } i \text{ pairs of repeated columns}\}. \quad (5.3)$$

Then

$$|p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))| = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq w/2} |p(\mathcal{A}_w^{(i)}(C))|. \quad (5.4)$$

Clearly,

$$|p(\mathcal{A}_w^{(i)}(C))| = |p(\mathcal{A}_{w-2i}^{(0)}(C))| \cdot |p(M_{m,i})|.$$

Note that

$$|p(\mathcal{A}_{w-2i}^{(0)}(C))| = N_{w-2i}(C),$$

and

$|p(M_{m,i})|$ = the number of ways to choose i elements from $\text{GF}(2)^m$ with repetition allowed

$$= \binom{2^m + i - 1}{i}.$$

Hence (5.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} |p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))| &= \sum_{0 \leq i \leq w/2} \binom{2^m + i - 1}{i} N_{w-2i}(C) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \zeta \leq w \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} \binom{2^m + \frac{w-\zeta}{2} - 1}{\frac{w-\zeta}{2}} N_{\zeta}(C). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

A partition λ of the integer w (denoted by $\lambda \vdash w$) is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ of nonnegative integers such that $\sum_i i\lambda_i = w$. For $w \geq 0$, $\zeta \geq 0$, define

$$g_m(w, \zeta) = \sum_{\substack{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \vdash w \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \dots = \zeta}} \frac{2^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots)m}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (i^{\lambda_i} \cdot \lambda_i!)} \quad (5.5)$$

Note that $g_m(w, \zeta) \neq 0$ only when $0 \leq \zeta \leq w$ and $\zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}$.

Lemma 5.2.

$$|p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))| = \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \zeta \leq w \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} g_m(w, \zeta) |\mathcal{A}_{\zeta}(C)|. \quad (5.6)$$

Proof. Let the symmetric group S_w act on $\mathcal{A}_w(C)$ by column permutation. Then $|p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))|$ is the number of S_w -orbits in $\mathcal{A}_w(C)$. By Burnside's Lemma ([3, Theorem 1.2.5]),

$$|p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))| = \frac{1}{w!} \sum_{\alpha \in S_w} |F(\alpha)|, \quad (5.7)$$

where

$$F(\alpha) = \{A \in \mathcal{A}_w(C) : \alpha(A) = A\} \quad (5.8)$$

is the set of elements fixed by α . Let $\alpha \in S_w$ be a disjoint product of ξ cycles of even length and ζ cycles of odd length. Without loss of generality, write

$$\alpha = \underbrace{(1, 2, \dots)}_{i_1} \cdots \underbrace{(\quad)}_{i_\xi} \underbrace{(\quad)}_{j_1} \cdots \underbrace{(\dots, w-1, w)}_{j_\zeta}, \quad (5.9)$$

where i_1, \dots, i_ξ are odd and j_1, \dots, j_ζ are even. Then any $A \in F(\alpha)$ is of the form

$$A = [\underbrace{v_1 \dots v_{i_1}}_{i_1}, \dots, \underbrace{v_\xi \dots v_{i_\xi}}_{i_\xi}, \underbrace{u_1 \dots u_{j_1}}_{j_1}, \dots, \underbrace{u_\zeta \dots u_{j_\zeta}}_{j_\zeta}], \quad (5.10)$$

where $[v_1, \dots, v_\xi] \in \mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)$, and $u_1, \dots, u_\zeta \in \text{GF}(2)^m$ are arbitrary. Hence

$$|F(\alpha)| = |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)| 2^{\xi m}. \quad (5.11)$$

For any $\alpha \in S_w$ and $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \vdash w$, we say α is of type λ if α is a disjoint product of λ_i cycles of length i ($i = 1, 2, \dots$). There are

$$\frac{w!}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (i^{\lambda_i} \cdot \lambda_i!)} \quad (5.12)$$

$\alpha \in S_w$ of type λ , and for each such α , (5.11) gives

$$|F(\alpha)| = |\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \dots}(C)| 2^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots)m}. \quad (5.13)$$

By (5.8) and (5.13),

$$\begin{aligned} |p(\mathcal{A}_w(C))| &= \sum_{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \vdash w} \frac{2^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots)m}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (i^{\lambda_i} \cdot \lambda_i!)} |\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \dots}(C)| \\ &= \sum_{\zeta=0}^w \left(\sum_{\substack{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots) \vdash w \\ \lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_5 + \dots = \zeta}} \frac{2^{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_4 + \dots)m}}{\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} (i^{\lambda_i} \cdot \lambda_i!)} \right) |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)| \\ &= \sum_{\zeta=0}^w g_m(w, \zeta) |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{0 \leq \zeta \leq w \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} g_m(w, \zeta) |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)|. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The function $g_m(w, \zeta)$ is difficult to compute in general. However, when $\zeta (\leq w)$ is close to w , $g_m(w, \zeta)$ takes rather simple expressions:

$$g_m(w, w) = \frac{1}{w!}, \quad (5.14)$$

$$g_m(w, w-2) = \begin{cases} 2^{m-1}, & w=2, \\ \frac{2^{m-1}}{(w-2)!} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot (w-3)!}, & w \geq 3, \end{cases} \quad (5.15)$$

Theorem 5.3. Let $C \subset M_m / \sim$. Then the minimal weight of C is

$$\mu = \min \{ \zeta : |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)| \neq \emptyset \}. \quad (5.16)$$

The weight distribution of C is given by the recursive formula

$$N_w(C) = \sum_{\substack{\mu < \zeta \leq w \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} g_m(w, \zeta) |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)| - \sum_{\substack{\mu \leq \zeta \leq w-2 \\ \zeta \equiv w \pmod{2}}} \binom{2^m + \frac{w-\zeta}{2} - 1}{\frac{w-\zeta}{2}} N_\zeta(C),$$

$$w \geq \mu + 2, \quad (5.17)$$

with initial conditions

$$N_\mu(C) = \frac{1}{\mu!} |\mathcal{A}_\mu(C)|, \quad (5.18)$$

$$N_{\mu+1}(C) = \frac{1}{(\mu+1)!} |\mathcal{A}_{\mu+1}(C)|. \quad (5.19)$$

Proof. Equation (5.16) is obvious. Equation (5.17) follows from (5.2) and (5.6). Equations (5.18) and (5.19) also follow from (5.2) and (5.6) using (5.14). \square

When $w (\geq \mu)$ is close to μ , (5.17) gives rather simple formulae for $N_w(C)$ in terms of $|\mathcal{A}_\zeta(C)|$:

$$N_{\mu+2}(C) = \begin{cases} -2^{m-1} + \frac{1}{2!} |\mathcal{A}_2(C)|, & \mu = 0, \\ \left(-\frac{2^{m-1}}{\mu!} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot (\mu-1)!} \right) |\mathcal{A}_\mu(C)| + \frac{1}{(\mu+2)!} |\mathcal{A}_{\mu+2}(C)|, & \mu \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

$$N_{\mu+3}(C) = \left(-\frac{2^{m-1}}{(\mu+1)!} + \frac{1}{3 \cdot \mu!} \right) |\mathcal{A}_{\mu+1}(C)| + \frac{1}{(\mu+3)!} |\mathcal{A}_{\mu+3}(C)|, \quad (5.21)$$

We now turn to weight distributions of cosets of $R(m-3, m)$. For any $A \in M_m$, let $\tilde{A} = q(A) + R(m-3, m)$. By Theorem 3.6, it suffices to look at the weight distributions of $\tilde{A}_{m,t}$ ($t=0, 1, \dots, m$), $\tilde{B}_{m,m}$ and $\tilde{D}_{m,t}$ ($t=2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$). By Theorem 5.3, we only have to know $|\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\cdot)|$ ($\zeta \geq 0$) of these cosets.

Let V be a vector space over $\text{GF}(2)$ with nondegenerate inner product $l: V \times V \rightarrow \text{GF}(2)$. (V, l) is called a symplectic space if $l(v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$, and is called an Euclidean space otherwise. A subspace W of V is called isotropic if $l(u, v) = 0$ for all $u, v \in W$. Let

$$\Phi_{n,k} = \text{the number of } k\text{-dimensional isotropic subspaces of an } n\text{-dimensional Euclidean space, } 0 \leq k \leq n/2, n \geq 1, \quad (5.22)$$

$$\Psi_{n,k} = \text{the number of } k\text{-dimensional isotropic subspaces of an } n\text{-dimensional symplectic space, } 0 \leq k \leq n/2, n \text{ is even.} \quad (5.23)$$

Lemma 5.4. (i) For $0 \leq k \leq n/2, n \geq 1$,

$$\Phi_{n,k} = \begin{cases} 1 & k=0, \\ \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^{n+1-2i} - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i - 1)}, & n \text{ is odd,} \\ \frac{(2^{n-k} - 1) \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (2^{n-2i} - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i - 1)}, & n \text{ is even, } k \geq 1. \end{cases} \quad (5.24)$$

(ii) For $0 \leq k \leq n/2, n$ even,

$$\Psi_{n,k} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^{n+2-2i} - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i - 1)}. \quad (5.25)$$

Proof. We omit the details. One can see [12]. \square

For $\zeta \geq 0$, let

$$\mathcal{J}(m, t, \zeta) = \{A \in M_{m,\zeta} : AA^T = I(m, t)\}, \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (5.26)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(m, t, \zeta) = \{A \in M_{m,\zeta} : AA^T = K(m, t)\}, \quad t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor, \quad (5.27)$$

Clearly,

$$\mathcal{J}(m, t, \zeta) = \phi, \quad \text{for } \zeta < t, \quad (5.28)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(m, t, \zeta) = \phi, \quad \text{for } \zeta < t + 1. \quad (5.29)$$

Let $l: \text{GF}(2)^\zeta \times \text{GF}(2)^\zeta \rightarrow \text{GF}(2)$ be the usual dot product, and for $\zeta \geq t \geq 0$, define

$$\mathcal{J}_e(t, \zeta) = \left\{ A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta) : l \text{ restricts to an} \right.$$

$$\text{Euclidean inner product on } \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \rangle^\perp, \quad (5.30)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_s(t, \zeta) = \left\{ A = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta) : l \text{ restricts to a} \right.$$

$$\left. \text{symplectic inner product on } \langle \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t \rangle^\perp \right\}. \quad (5.31)$$

Also define $\mathcal{K}_e(t, \zeta)$, $\mathcal{K}_s(t, \zeta)$ ($\zeta \geq t+1$, $t \geq 2$ even) similarly. Then

$$\mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta) = \mathcal{J}_e(t, \zeta) \cup \mathcal{J}_s(t, \zeta), \quad \zeta \geq t \geq 0, \quad (5.32)$$

$$\mathcal{K}(t, t, \zeta) = \mathcal{K}_e(t, \zeta), \quad \mathcal{K}_s(t, \zeta) = \phi, \quad \zeta \geq t+1, t \geq 2 \text{ even}. \quad (5.33)$$

Lemma 5.5.

$$|\mathcal{J}_e(0, \zeta)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta = 0, \\ 1, & \text{if } \zeta > 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.34)$$

$$|\mathcal{J}_s(0, \zeta)| = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \zeta = 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } \zeta > 0, \end{cases} \quad (5.35)$$

$$|\mathcal{J}_e(t, \zeta)| = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t-1)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 0, \zeta \text{ and } t \text{ both odd}, \\ 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t-2)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t+1)/2}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 2, \zeta \text{ odd}, t \text{ even}, \\ 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t-1)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t+1)/2}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 1, \zeta \text{ even}, t \text{ odd}, \\ 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 2, \zeta \text{ and } t \text{ both even}, \end{cases} \quad (5.36)$$

$$|\mathcal{J}_s(t, \zeta)| = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t-1)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2+1}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 1, \zeta \text{ and } t \text{ both odd}, \\ 0, & \zeta \geq t \geq 2, \zeta \text{ odd}, t \text{ even}, \\ 0, & \zeta \geq t \geq 1, \zeta \text{ even}, t \text{ odd}, \\ 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2+1}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t \geq 2, \zeta \text{ and } t \text{ both even}, \end{cases} \quad (5.37)$$

$$|\mathcal{K}_e(t, \zeta)| = \begin{cases} 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t-2)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t+1)/2}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t+1, \zeta \geq 2 \text{ even}, \\ 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), & \zeta \geq t+1, \zeta \text{ even}, t \geq 2 \text{ even}. \end{cases} \quad (5.38)$$

Proof. We omit the details. \square

Lemma 5.6. For $\zeta \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{J}(m, t, \zeta)| = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} [|\mathcal{J}_e(t, \zeta)| \Phi_{\zeta-t, k} + |\mathcal{J}_s(t, \zeta)| \Psi_{\zeta-t, k}] 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} \\ \times \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i - 1), \quad t = 0, 1, \dots, m, \quad (5.39)$$

$$|\mathcal{K}(m, t, \zeta)| = |\mathcal{K}_e(t, \zeta)| \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} \Phi_{\zeta-t, k} 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} \\ \times \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i - 1), \quad t = 2, 4, \dots, 2 \lfloor m/2 \rfloor. \quad (5.40)$$

Proof. Any $A \in \mathcal{J}(m, t, \zeta)$ is of the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} X \\ Y \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.41)$$

where $X \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta)$, $YX^T = 0$, $YY^T = 0$. For any

$$X = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ \vdots \\ x_t \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta), \quad (5.42)$$

let

$$\mathcal{Y}_X = \{ Y \in M_{m-t, \zeta} : YX^T = 0, YY^T = 0 \}. \quad (5.43)$$

For any isotropic subspace $V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp$ with $\dim V \leq m-t$, let

$$\mathcal{Y}_{X, V} = \left\{ Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_{m-t} \end{bmatrix} : \langle y_1, \dots, y_{m-t} \rangle = V \right\}. \quad (5.44)$$

Then

$$\mathcal{Y}_X = \bigcup_{V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp \text{ is isotropic, } \dim V \leq m-t} \mathcal{Y}_{X, V}, \quad (5.45)$$

where the union is disjoint. For any isotropic subspace $V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp$ with $\dim V = k \leq m-t$, choose a basis v_1, \dots, v_k for V . Then $GL(m-t, 2)$ acts transitively on $\mathcal{Y}_{X, V}$ by left multiplication, and the stabilizer of

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ \vdots \\ v_k \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{Y}_{X,V}$$

is

$$\left\{ \begin{bmatrix} I(k, k) & * \\ 0 & Q \end{bmatrix} : Q \in \text{GL}(m-t-k, 2) \right\}.$$

Hence

$$|\mathcal{Y}_{X,V}| = \frac{|\text{GL}(m-t, 2)|}{2^{k(m-t-k)} |\text{GL}(m-t-k, 2)|} = 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i - 1). \quad (5.46)$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{J}(m, t, \zeta)| &= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta)} \sum_{V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp \text{ is isotropic, } \dim V \leq m-t} |\mathcal{Y}_{X,V}| \\ &= \sum_{X \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta)} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} \sum_{V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp \text{ is isotropic, } \dim V = k} |\mathcal{Y}_{X,V}| \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i - 1) \\ &\quad \times \sum_{X \in \mathcal{J}(t, t, \zeta)} \sum_{V \subset \langle x_1, \dots, x_t \rangle^\perp \text{ is isotropic, } \dim V = k} 1 \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} [|\mathcal{J}_e(t, \zeta)| \Phi_{\zeta-t, k} + |\mathcal{J}_s(t, \zeta)| \Psi_{\zeta-t, k}] 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Equation (5.40) is proved similarly. \square

Theorem 5.7. (i) For $\zeta \geq 0$,

$$|\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\widetilde{A_{m,0}})| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \zeta \text{ is odd,} \\ 1 + \sum_{1 \leq k \leq \min(m, \zeta/2)} 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} (2^{\zeta-k} - 1) \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{k-1} (2^{\zeta-2i} - 1) \prod_{i=m-k+1}^m (2^i - 1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i - 1)}, & \text{if } \zeta \text{ is even,} \end{cases} \quad (5.47)$$

(ii) For $t = 1, \dots, m$, and $\zeta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0, \quad \text{if } \zeta < t, \text{ or } \zeta \not\equiv t \pmod{2}, \\
 & 2^{(1/4)(t-1)(2\zeta-t-1)+\zeta-t} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} 2^{(1/2)k(k-3)} \\
 & \frac{\prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2-k+1}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i-1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i-1)}, \\
 |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\widetilde{A_{m,t}})| = & \quad \text{if } \zeta \geq t \geq 1, \text{ } t \text{ and } \zeta \text{ both odd,} \\
 & 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t)+\zeta-t} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} 2^{(1/2)k(k-3)} \\
 & \frac{\prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2-k+1}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i-1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i-1)}, \\
 & \text{if } \zeta \geq t \geq 2, \text{ } t \text{ and } \zeta \text{ both even,}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.48}$$

(iii) For $\zeta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0, \quad \text{if } \zeta < m+1, \text{ or } \zeta \not\equiv m+1 \pmod{2}, \\
 & 2^{(1/4)m(2\zeta-t-2)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-m+1)/2}^{(\zeta-1)/2} (2^{2i}-1), \\
 |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\widetilde{B_{m,m}})| = & \quad \text{if } m \text{ even, } \zeta \geq m+1 \text{ odd,} \\
 & 2^{(1/4)(m+1)(2\zeta-m-1)} \prod_{i=(\zeta-m+1)/2}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1), \\
 & \text{if } m \text{ odd, } \zeta \geq m+1 \text{ even,}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.49}$$

(iv) For $t = 2, 4, \dots, 2\lfloor m/2 \rfloor$, and $\zeta \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 0, \quad \text{if } \zeta < t+2, \text{ or } \zeta \text{ is odd,} \\
 & 2^{(1/4)t(2\zeta-t)} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq \min(m-t, (\zeta-t)/2)} 2^{(1/2)k(k-1)} (2^{\zeta-t-k}-1) \\
 |\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\widetilde{D_{m,t}})| = & \times \frac{\prod_{i=(\zeta-t)/2-k+1}^{(\zeta/2)-1} (2^{2i}-1) \prod_{i=m-t-k+1}^{m-t} (2^i-1)}{\prod_{i=1}^k (2^i-1)}, \\
 & \text{if } \zeta \geq t+2 \text{ is even.}
 \end{aligned} \tag{5.50}$$

Proof. Note that

$$|\mathcal{A}_\zeta(\widetilde{A_{m,t}})| = \begin{cases} \Phi, & \text{if } \zeta \not\equiv t \pmod{2}, \\ \mathcal{A}(m, t, \zeta), & \text{if } \zeta \equiv t \pmod{2}. \end{cases} \tag{5.51}$$

Then (5.47) and (5.48) follow from (5.51) and (5.39). We omit the details of computation. Equations (5.49) and (5.50) are proved similarly. \square

References

- [1] E. Artin, *Geometric Algebra* (Wiley, New York, 1988).
- [2] E. Berlekamp and L.R. Welch, Weight distributions of the cosets of the $(32, 6)$ Reed–Muller code, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 18 (1972) 203–207.
- [3] N.L. Biggs and A.T. White, *Permutation Groups and Combinatorial Structures* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1979).
- [4] R.A. Brualdi, N. Cai and V.S. Pless, Orphans structure of the first order Reed–Muller codes, *Discrete Math.* 102 (1992) 239–247.
- [5] R.A. Brualdi and V.S. Pless, Orphans of the first order Reed–Muller codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 36 (1990) 399–401.
- [6] G.D. Cohen, M.G. Karpovsky, H.F. Mattson Jr and J.R. Schatz, Covering radius – survey and recent results, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 31 (1985) 328–343.
- [7] L.E. Dickson, *Linear Groups* (Dover, New York, 1958).
- [8] R.L. Graham and N.J.A. Sloane, On the covering radius of codes, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 31 (1985) 385–401.
- [9] X. Hou, Binary linear quasi-perfect codes are normal, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 37 (1991) 378–379.
- [10] F.J. MacWilliams and N.J. Sloane, *The Theory of Error-Correcting Codes*, Vol. II (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1977).
- [11] A. McLoughlin, The covering radius of the $(m-3)$ rd Reed–Muller codes and a lower bound on the $(m-4)$ th order Reed–Muller codes, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.* 37 (1979) 419–422.
- [12] V. Pless, The number of isotropic subspaces in a finite geometry, *Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rend. Cl. Sci. Fiz., Mat. e Nat.* (8) 39 (1965) 418–421.
- [13] J. Simonis, Reed–Muller codes, Report 87–23, Delft Univ. of Tech., Delft, 1987.